

## REMARKS

Receipt of the Office Action of March 27, 2008 is gratefully acknowledged.

Claims 8 - 15 have been examined and rejected in this application under 35 USC 102(e) by Jackson et al.

The Jackson et al publication has been carefully studied and it is applicant's position that claims 8 - 15 are not anticipated by Jackson et al. This rejection is therefore respectfully traversed.


Jackson et al discloses an interface device used with new and existing tank monitoring systems. The interface, however, does not provide fill level measurements, it is merely an interface. Even more importantly, the device of Jackson et al does not disclose that ***for every possible application in which the fill-level measuring device is to be used, there is stored in memory a parameter set containing the required, application specific information.*** See page 6, lines 15 - 24 of the specification which establishes support for this distinction.

To insure clarity regarding this distinction, claims 8, 11, 12 and 13 have been amended to include a recitation essentially identical to the distinction noted above.

Claims 8 - 15 as now amended distinguish over the Jackson et al publication. Accordingly, claims 8 - 15 should now be allowed.

In view of the foregoing, reconsideration and re-examination are respectfully requested and claims 8 - 15 found allowable.

Respectfully submitted,  
BACON & THOMAS, PLLC



Felix J. D'Ambrosio  
Attorney for Applicant

Registration Number 25,721

Date: August 27, 2008

**Customer Number \*23364\***  
**BACON & THOMAS, PLLC**  
625 Slaters Lane, Fourth Floor  
Alexandria, Virginia 22314  
Telephone: (703) 683-0500  
Facsimile: (703) 683-1080

S:\Producer\jfd\CLIENTS\Endress+Hauser Holding GmbH\SPAN3007-EH0584\response of Aug 27 2008.wpd